

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/651,104	08/29/2003	Ik-Soo Kim	8733.432.20	7746	
30827 7	590 10/20/2006	EXAMINER			
MCKENNA I 1900 K STREE	LONG & ALDRIDG	NGUYEN, DUNG T			
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2871		
			DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	^	
-	$\overline{}$	

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/651,104	KIM, IK-SOO					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Dung Nguyen	2871					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 07	August 2006						
· <u> </u>	•	is action is non-final.						
	•		osecution as to the merits is					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition								
- 4\⊠ Cla	aim(s) 29 31 33 35 37 38 40 42 43 and 45	is/are pending in the application						
	Claim(s) 29,31,33,35,37,38,40,42,43 and 45 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	aim(s) is/are allowed.	awn nom consideration.	·					
<u> </u>	aim(s) <u>29,31,33,35,37,38,40,42,43 and 45</u>	is/are rejected						
	aim(s) is/are objected to.	is/are rejected.						
<u> </u>	aim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement	1					
0/ 0/6	and subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Application	Papers							
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) <u></u> The	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
Арі	plicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Re	placement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
	e oath or declaration is objected to by the E							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) <u></u>								
1.[1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2.[2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
•••								
Attachment(s) 1) M Notice of References Cited (RTO 903)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) 🛛 Informatio	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/16/06</u> . 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/651,104

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/07/2006 has been entered.
- 2. Applicant's amendment dated 07/05/2006 has been received and entered. By the amendment, claims 29, 31, 33, 35, 37, 38, 40, 42, 43 and 45 are pending in the application. Claim 47 stand withdrawn from consideration.
- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 29 and 37 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned

Application/Control Number: 10/651,104

Art Unit: 2871

with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Page 3

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 29, 31, 33, 35, 37, 38, 40, 42, 43 and 45 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 3-4, 6-7, 8-9, 16, 18-19 and 20-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,661,492. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both application and patent disclose the same in-plane switching liquid crystal display device comprising an auxiliary pixel electrode and an auxiliary common electrode as claimed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2297. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on 571-272-1782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/651,104

Art Unit: 2871

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DN 10/16/2006 Dung Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2871